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Abstract

Today, most of the network traffic need to traverse through several middleboxes before it can reach its destination. Common operation between the many of these middlebox is DPI – Deep Packet Inspection, which allows to perform different actions based on patterns in the packets content.

DPI consumes many of the middlebox resources during its operation. In addition, each packet usually traverses several middleboxes which causes the same packet to be scanned by different DPI engines over and over again. As a result the network becomes less efficient, which affects directly its total bandwidth.

One solution for those issues is a system that provide DPI as service. Means, the different middleboxes in the network that need DPI, can register to the service and expose their desired patterns. The System will direct the packets to a designated DPI engine instances across the network and pass the pattern matches, if exists, to the relevant middlebox.

There are many advantages in such system, among others: a single scan of every packet, the ability to upgrade to latest DPI algorithms, better partition of packets between DPI engines and increasing middlebox development innovation. Developing such a system is more simple today than ever with the emerging of SDN, which allows dynamic routing of the network traffic using a centralized controller.

The goal of this work is to implement a prototype of the DPI as a service system and to provide a realistic as possible environment to evaluate it. This paper documents the design and implementation of the system and other tools which are needed to deploy functioning network that uses this system.

Finally, the paper describes the experiments done to prove the system correctness and effectiveness and discusses their results.
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1 Introduction

Middleboxes play a major role in contemporary networks, as forwarding packets is often not enough to meet operator demands, and other functionalities (such as security, QoS/QoE provisioning, and load balancing) are required. Traffic is usually routed through a sequence of such middleboxes, which either reside across the network or in a single, consolidated location. Although middleboxes provide a vast range of different capabilities, there are components that are shared among many of them. [1]

A task common to almost all middleboxes that deal with L7 protocols is Deep Packet Inspection (DPI). Presently, traffic is inspected from scratch by all the middleboxes on its route. The paper “Deep Packet Inspection as a Service” [1] proposes to treat DPI as a service to the middleboxes, implying that traffic should be scanned only once, but against the data of all middleboxes that use the service. The DPI service then passes the scan results to the appropriate middleboxes.

The paper suggests that using DPI as a service (denoted here DPIaaS), brings significant advantages in performance, scalability and robustness. Moreover, DPIaaS promotes innovation in the middlebox domain, by enabling developers to focus on the core logic of their new middlebox. In addition, the paper argues that current technologies and solutions for current Software Defined Networks (SDN) make it feasible to implement such a service and route traffic to and from its instances. An example for using those technologies is demonstrated in [2].

“SIMPLE” [2] describes the implementation of policy chains deployment using SDN, which is crucial to the DPIaaS solution.

In our work we implemented and deployed a simple but fully working DPIaaS network, and we demonstrate the feasibility of such a system. During the work on this project we have tackled real implementation and deployment issues, some of them were not taken into account in the theoretical model.

In this paper we describe the process of developing such a system, from requirements through architecture to working software.

Finally, we show experimental results from a real testbed and compare the system’s measured performance to the original paper results.
2 Background

2.1 Middleboxes

A middlebox or network appliance is a computer networking device that transforms, inspects, filters, or otherwise manipulates traffic for purposes other than packet forwarding.

Middleboxes play a major role in contemporary networks, as forwarding packets is often not enough to meet operator demands, and other functionalities (security, QoS/QoE provisioning, load balancing, etc.) are required. Traffic is usually routed through a sequence of such middleboxes, which either reside across the network or in a single, consolidated location. A common task to almost all middleboxes that deals with L7 protocols is Deep Packet Inspection (DPI).

2.2 DPI

Deep packet inspection (DPI) is a form of network packet filtering, which scans both the header and the payload of a packet for certain patterns. The packet is filtered according to the scan results and pre-defined policies. DPI is being used by enterprises, service providers and governments in a wide range of applications. For example:

1. Intrusion Detection / Prevention System: Intrusion detection system (IDS) watches the network traffic and tries to match it against signature-based or anomaly-based pre-configured rules. If it detects anything suspicious, the IDS sets off an alarm. An IPS has all the capabilities of IDS with the added ability to filter out suspicious traffic.
2. Leakage Prevention: DPI can be used to identify a leakage of confidential data from a private network to the internet.
3. Protocol Classification: Since DPI examines the packet payload, it can be used to identify and classify the traffic according to the application layer protocols.
4. Targeted Advertising: ISPs can use DPI to gain information about their customers which can be used by companies specialized in targeted advertising.
5. Quality of Service: DPI allows operators to distribute equitable bandwidth to all users by preventing network congestion.
2.3 SDN

Software-defined networking (SDN) is a term encompassing several kinds of network technology aimed at making the network as agile and flexible as the virtualized server and storage infrastructure of the modern data center. The goal of SDN is to allow network engineers and administrators to respond quickly to changing business requirements.

In a software-defined network, a network administrator can control the traffic forwarding, from a centralized control console without having to touch individual switches, and can deliver services to wherever they are needed in the network, without regard to what specific devices a server or other device is connected to. The key technologies are functional separation, network virtualization and automation through programmability.

In a classic SDN scenario, rules for packet handling are sent to the switch from a controller, an application running on a server somewhere, and switches (aka data plane devices) query the controller for guidance as needed, and provide it with information about traffic they are handling. Controllers and switches communicate via a controller's "south bound" interface, usually OpenFlow, although other protocols exist.

Whereas, a traditional network uses a specialized appliance such as a firewall or load balancer, SDN allows us to achieve the same results, by using only SDN application software. These kind of applications communicate to the SDN controller via its "north-bound" interface.

In this work we implemented such application: the Traffic Steering Application (denoted here TSA), which plays a major part in the DPIaaS network. It allows us to separate the DPI engine from the middleboxes, by enforcing the packets in the network to traverse first through the relevant DPI-engine instances. After that, the packets and their DPI results arrive to the desired middleboxes. The TSA will be elaborated in section 4.
3 DPI as a service

This section purpose is to shortly describe the solution purposed in [1], which was used as the blueprints for the DPIaaS implementation. The authors of [1] suggests finding common tasks among middleboxes and offering these tasks as services. Specifically, they focused on Deep Packet Inspection (DPI), where the payload of packets is inspected against a set of patterns.

Traffic nowadays goes through a chain of middleboxes before reaching its destination. Abstractly, middleboxes operate by rules that contain actions and conditions. The conditions should be satisfied in order to activate the actions. Some of the conditions are based on patterns in the packet’s content. The only DPI service responsibility is to indicate appearances of patterns, while resolving the logic behind a condition and performing the action itself is the middlebox’s responsibility. This implies that traffic is scanned over and over again by middleboxes with a DPI component (see Figure 1(a)). In addition, in many cases, DPI is the most time-consuming task and it may take most of the middlebox processing time.

The authors of [1] propose a framework that extracts the DPI engine from the different middleboxes and provides it as a service for various middleboxes in the network. This service is provided by deploying one or more service instances around the network, all controlled by a logically-centralized DPI Controller. A packet in such a network will go through a single DPI service instance and then visit middleboxes according to its policy chain. Its payload will not have to be rescanned, as the results of the scan are provided along with the original packet (or instead without the original packet if the latter is not needed anymore). Upon receiving the results from the DPI Service, each middlebox will apply the rules corresponding to the matched patterns according to its internal logic.

The DPIaaS framework allows dynamic resource sharing as the hardware used for DPI is decoupled from the specific middlebox. Furthermore, since the DPI is performed once, the effect of decompression or decryption, which usually takes place prior to the DPI phase, may be reduced significantly, as these heavy processes are executed only once for each packet. The authors of [1] claims to provide superior performance while reducing the memory footprint of the DPI engine data-structures. This claim is also supported by our experiment results, as can be seen in section 6.3.3.

![Figure 1: Examples of the chain of middleboxes, with and without DPI as a service.](image-url)
3.1 System Overview

The basic principle of the system is to provide a DPI service instance in the policy-chain, prior to any middlebox that requires DPI. The DPI service will then scan the packet and produce a meta-data packet for detected patterns. As the packet is forwarded, each middlebox on its route retrieves the DPI scan results instead of performing the costly DPI task. DPI as a service assumes an SDN environment with a Traffic Steering Application (TSA), which classifies packets to policy chains and then routes the packets through the corresponding middleboxes across the network. Naturally, the solution will negotiate with the TSA, so that policy chains are changed to include the DPI instance (see Figure 2).

The core component of the DPIaaS system is the DPI Controller, which orchestrate the entire system. Firstly, the Controller manages the available DPI instances and the middleboxes consuming the service.

Secondly, the controller in charge on the pattern set management. Patterns are added to and removed from the DPI controller, using dedicated messages from registered middleboxes to the controller. The DPI Controller maintains a global pattern set with its own internal IDs. In the case of two middleboxes register the same pattern (since each one of them has a rule that depends on this pattern), it keeps track of each of the rule IDs reported by each middlebox and associates them with its internal ID. Thirdly, the DPI controller is responsible for dividing the patterns among the available DPI instances, which are deployed across the network.

Finally, the DPI controller communicates the network policy chains with the TSA. It modifies the policy chains by placing the relevant DPI instances where they are needed. The TSA receives the modified policy chains and apply the changes to the network.

In addition, the DPIaaS requires some additional roles which are not in the scope of this paper. The DPI instance itself, a middlebox adaption to the new service and the dynamic scaling of DPI service throughout the network.

![System Illustration](image)

**Figure 2: System Illustration.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Chain</th>
<th>Middlebox Chain</th>
<th>Physical Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. L2L4_PW-DPI-IDS</td>
<td>L2L4_PW-DPI5-IDS1</td>
<td>S1 S3 L2L4_PW S3 S2 DPI5 S2 S3 ID51 S5 S4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. DPI5-IDS-AV-IDS</td>
<td>DPI5-IDS2-AV1-TS</td>
<td>S1 S2 DPI5 S2 S4 ID62 S4 AV1 S2 TS S2 S4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Traffic Steering Application (TSA)

Network policies typically require packets to go through a sequence of middleboxes (e.g., firewall+IDS+proxy). However, enforcing such policy is highly complex in a regular network. This complexity stems from the need to carefully plan the network topology, manually set up rules to route traffic through the desired sequence of middleboxes and implement safeguards for correct operation in the presence of failures and overload.

Software-Defined Networking (SDN) offers a promising alternative for middlebox policy enforcement. By using logically centralized management, decoupling the data and control planes and providing the ability to programmatically configure forwarding rules. SDN can eliminate the need to manually plan middlebox placements or configure routes to enforce such policies. [2]

In our work we implemented a simple TSA, which provides the enforcement of an arbitrary middlebox chains. For simplicity’s sake, we did not handle some complex implications which may arise when implementing TSA, among others: the TCAM resources limitations, packet modifications by the middlebox and load-balancing part of the chain. Thus, our TSA should simply be able to traverse packets in a policy group through a defined set of middlebox.

The following guidelines of implementing such TSA were taken from the paper “SIMPLE-fying middlebox policy enforcement using SDN” [2].

4.1 Middlebox composition

To explain the challenges of implementing such policy chain enforcement, consider the physical sequence of middleboxes FW1-IDS1-Proxy1 for HTTP traffic in the example. Let us zoom in on the three switches S2, S4, and S5 in Figure 3. Here, S5 sees the same packet thrice and needs to decide between three actions: forward it to IDS1 (post-firewall), forward it back to S2 for Proxy1 (post-IDS), or send it to the destination (post-proxy). It cannot, however, make this decision based only on the packet header fields. The challenge here is that even though we have a valid composition of middlebox actions, this may not be realizable because S5 will have an ambiguous forwarding decision. Consequently, the use of simple flow-based rules (i.e., the IP 5-tuple) traditionally used for L2/L3 functions will no longer suffice.
To address these problems, we present a data plane solution that uses a combination of tags and tunnels. While the use of tagging or tunneling in a general networking or SDN context is not new, our specific contribution here is in using these ideas in the context of middlebox policy enforcement.

Referring back to the Figure 3, for S5 to know the next hop, it needs to know if a packet has traversed the Firewall (send to IDS), or has traversed both Firewall and IDS (send to S2), or has traversed all three middleboxes (send to destination). That is, we need switches to identify the segment in the middlebox processing chain that the packet is currently in. A segment is a sequence of switches starting at a middlebox (or an ingress gateway) and terminating at the next middlebox in the logical chain. Intuitively, we can track the segment by keeping “per packet state” in the controller or in the switch. As neither option is practical, we’ve used a combination of topological context and packet tagging to encode this processing state.

If the sequence of switches is loop free, i.e. each directional link appears at most once in the sequence. In this case, a switch can use the incoming interface to identify the logical segment. Consider the sequence FW1-IDS1 in Figure 3, where the packet needs to traverse In–S2-FW1-S4-S5-IDS1-S5–Out. In this case, S2 forwards packets arriving on “In” to FW1 and packets arriving on the FW1 port to S4. If there is a loop in the physical sequence, then the combination of input interface and packet header fields cannot identify the middlebox segment. To address this, we introduce a ProcState tag that encodes the packet’s processing state; ProcState tags are embedded inside the packet header using either VLAN tags, MPLS labels, or unused fields in the IP header, depending on the fields supported in the SDN switches. The controller installs the tag addition rules at the first switch of each segment, based on packet header fields and the input port. Then, downstream switches use these tags for their forwarding decision.

If we go back to Figure 3, we need tag addition rules at S2: {HTTP, from FW1} \(\rightarrow\) ProcState =FW; {HTTP, from Proxy1} \(\rightarrow\) ProcState =Proxy. The forwarding rules at S5 are: {HTTP, ProcState =FW} \(\rightarrow\) forward to IDS1; and {HTTP, ProcState =Proxy} \(\rightarrow\) forward to destination. The key concept here is, that S5 can use the ProcState tags to differentiate between the first instance of the packet arriving in the second segment (send to IDS) and the fourth segment (send to destination).

In my implementation I considered the more complex scenario and used a ProcState tag.
5 Implementation

This section will describe the implementation details of the DPI as a service concept described in the paper. We aimed to implement a simple, full functioning system, which will be a proof of concept to the DPIaaS theory and to the extraction of other functions to network services. Therefore, we focused on the essential subsets of features on the DPI-server and TSA, which are necessary for a full functioning DPIaaS network. Note, that the Middleboxes and DPI instances where not part of the implementation scope, and the same tools were used here as those were used in the original implementation scope, with minor modifications that will be elaborated in section 6.1 Tools.

5.1 High Level architecture

Figure 4 describes the components needed in order to implement the DPI as a service network. The purple marked rectangles marks the two main components developed, in order to achieve this paper goals: the DPI controller and the TSA. Those two components integrate with each other and must operate in coordination in response to configuration or policy changes in the network.

The DPI controller is the orchestrator of the DPIaaS operation, any middlebox that want to use the DPIaaS system, needs to register to the DPI controller and notify the controller on all the match rules that need to be inspected. In addition, any DPI engine instance available in the network also registers to the controller. The controller aggregates all the rules and uses internal strategy to divide the rules among the available DPI instances.

Finally, the controller “injects” the dpi instances into the existing policy chains and applies them to the network using the TSA.
The TSA rule is to apply the existing (and changing) policy chains on the network. It uses an underlying SDN controller (OpenDayLight) by setting the necessary rules into the OF switches. These rules will make each packet to traverse through the corresponding dpi instances and middlebox, according to the policy chain. The packet then will be released to the underling network routing toward its destination.

Regarding connectivity, the DPI controller, Middleboxes and DPI-Instances, operate and communicate using the data-plane network, while the TSA through the SDN controller uses the control plane network to configure the rules. However, the connectivity between the TSA and the DPI controller, which is mandatory for the DPIaaS operation, suggests a special approach as they belong to different planes. The solution was to connect them directly, by using a dedicated link and not to break the planes abstraction.

5.2 The DPI controller

The DPI controller is the main component in the DPIaaS. The controller must communicate with all the middleboxes which are using the DPI-service, all the DPI instances existing in the network and all the rules needs to be inspected. Its internal logic decides how to divide the rules between the dpi instances, and where to place each instance in the policy chain.

The DPI controller keeps an open channel with the middleboxes, Instances and TSA in order to notify and get notified on any policy or configuration change in the network.

To simplify the controller we’ve assumed that the DPI instances are not automatically deployed by the DPI-server but are created manually.

5.2.1 Requirements

In order to play the DPI controller rule successfully, the following main features needs to be implemented.

5.2.1.1 Act as a server for middleboxes and instances

As mentioned before, the DPI controller needs to communicate with every component in the DPI network. The DPI controller listens for incoming messages from middleboxes and instances, register new connections, holds an open connection and communicates with them using the DPIaaS protocol. The DPI controller also needs to be aware for disconnections and to react accordingly.

5.2.1.2 Global Match-Rules Set

One of the advantages of centralize DPI service is the ability to aggregate identical rules from different middleboxes, and inspect each packet against this set of rules only once. In order to achieve this, the DPI controller assign an internal rule id for every rule assigned by a middlebox in such way, that identical rules get the same internal id. Even if multiple middlebox register with the same match rule, only one rule will be assign to a DPI instance. This implies that the DPI instance must know only the internal id.
The DPI controller achieves that by holding an internal match rules mapping between the middleboxes rules to an internal rule. And keeps track on each internal rule as the middleboxes connect and disconnect from the controller. The rules then, added and removed throughout the controller life-time. The DPI controller must link every internal rule to all of its connected middleboxes, and take it into consideration when dividing the rules between instances and placing instances in the policy chains in order the ensure every middlebox will get the relevant matches.

5.2.1.3 Managing DPI instances

The DPI controller holds a set of all the available DPI instances in the network and a mapping of each instance and the internal rules assigned to each one. The DPI controller can assign match rules to the instances and react to changes in their availability.

5.2.1.4 Dividing Match-Rules to instances

One of the most important pieces of logic in the DPI controller is how to divide the match rules among the different instances. A few issues can come in to consideration when implementing such an algorithm:

- The match patterns, when knowing the internal implementation of the DPI instances patterns can divide using the strings to optimize the algorithm using for DPI.
- The mapping between middleboxes and their rules, can affect the structure of the network created the TSA in order to apply the DPIaaS and therefore its efficiency.
- The mapping between DPI instances and current assigned rules, one would probably want to utilize the available instances and load-balance their resources.
- One would probably will want to minimize or limit the number of chain changes in the network in order to keep it stable. Frequent routing changes can affect the efficiency of the network.

Derived from the above, the division of the rules needs to be examined in almost every configuration change: addition or removal of middlebox, instance or match rule and changes in policy chains.

Finding the optimal strategy is a complex mission involving examination of all the previous considerations, designing some algorithm and testing them in various environments and situations. In this work scope I decided to focus on creating a whole working system, and not to optimize its rules division. But as will be explained in section 5.2.2, the Dpi controller design lays the foundation for this optimization.

To demonstrate this design and create a working system we have developed two simple dividing policies:

1. **Match rule load balancing** – where its goal is to utilize all the available instances and to keep the same number of rules in each instance.
2. **Policy chain load balancing** – where its goal is divide policy chains equally, meaning middleboxes and their rules, between available instances, in a way that every policy chain will assign at most one instance. If not enough instances available, two or more policy chains will share instance. Thus, this strategy keeps the next invariant: 
   \[ |\min \text{policy chains per instance}| + 1 \geq |\max \text{policy chains per instance}| \]

This policy was chosen to use in the experiments because it very easy to test.
5.2.1.5 Generate the corresponding policy chain

Once the rules are divided between the instances, the DPI controller needs to place each dpi instance in the right place across the policy chains. Even though this task is simpler than the previous, the main consideration is that every instance should precede any middlebox that requires its rules. In order to achieve that, the DPI controller needs to use its knowledge about middlebox-rules and instances-rules mapping and the policy chains themselves. For the purposes of this work, I have implemented a simple strategy that uses the "policy chain load balancing" and simply retrieves from that strategy for each policy the instance assign to it, and place the instance in the begging of the chain.

5.2.1.6 Pulling and pushing Policy chains

For both the "build new policy chain" and "dividing match-rules" requirements, the controller needs to be able to pull and push the policy chain to the TSA application.

5.2.1.7 Reacting to changes in the DPI network/TSA

The DPI controller not only operates on network setup, it needs to get notified on changes in the network – middleboxes/instance go up/down, rules added/deleted or policy chains changes. When any such event occurs, the DPI controller reacts immediately and according to the previous requirements.

5.2.2 DPI Controller Architecture

The Dpi controller architecture roughly translates its requirements into the Object-oriented world. Means, each requirement is implemented by a component which responsible for this requirement. This modular approach makes it easier for each component to focus on its own logic, and each component can be changed or modified without interfering the rest of the DPI controller logic.
In addition we took into consideration that the match-rules diving logic and the policy chain composition are most likely going to change frequently, and probably a real deployment of this service will hold multiple options to choose from depending on the network configuration. This is handled by using the strategy design pattern which enable adding new logic by simply implementing an interface with few simple methods.

DPI Controller class

This class is the core and Coordinator of the application, its rule is to react to changes in the DPI network notified by its satellite components. It is then applies the needed logic and operations, again using the relevant components for task in the right order.

5.2.2.1 DPIserver

The DPIserver communicates in the network level with all the DPI instances and middlebox, it passes changes in the DPI network to the DPI controller and applies the match rules to the DPI instances. The DPIserver uses the DPIaaS protocol, described in appendix 8.3.2, to perform its rule.

5.2.2.2 MatchRuleRepository

This repository keeps track on the mapping between middleboxes and its match-rules, and from match-rules with identical pattern to a single internal match-rule. The rest of the system uses only the internal matchRule and uses the repository to find for each middlebox its internals rule and vice versa. The repository needs to keep this mapping valid as rules and matchboxes added or removed throughout the lifetime of the application.

5.2.2.3 DPIForeman

As its name applied the foreman rule is to assign jobs (or internal match-rules) to the available DPI instances. In order to achieve this, it uses the InstanceRepository, which holds the available instances and their current assigned jobs, and the LoadBalanceStrategy, which as explained before can be implemented in many ways by the overriding the methods described in the diagram.

In order to perform its rule, the LoadBalanceStrategy can access the InstanceRepository and MatchRuleRepository, as any implementation would likely need to know current rules-instance or rules-middleboxes mapping.

5.2.2.4 TSAFacade

The TSAFacade rule is to encapsulate all the DPIController requirements regarding the TSA, it communicates with the TSA – notifies the controller on the policy chain changes and updated the TSA on chains modifications done by the service. As part of its rule the façade also constructs the policy chains themselves, when change is made to match-rules assignments, the façade uses the PolicyChainBuilder strategy, to modify current policy chain when needed. In a similar manner to the LoadBalanceStrategy strategy, this strategy can and probably uses the middlebox-instance-match Rules mapping in order to construct the new policy chains.
However, the chosen strategy implementation, as described in the section 5.2.1.5, is much simpler. It uses the fact that the implemented policyChainLoadBalance strategy already assigned an instance per chain. Thus, the builder implementation just needs to concat the assigned instance in the begging of the corresponding chain.
5.3 TSA Implementation

The TSA was implemented using **OpenDayLight** controller – AD-SAL version, and the OpenFlow13 protocol. We have used the vlan-id field for the “ProcState” tagging as described in section 4.1 (Middlebox composition).

5.3.1 Policy chains

The TSA input is a set of policy chains, each chain has a unique "class" represented by OpenFlow Match syntax, and an ordered list of middleboxes represented by their IP's.

![Figure 6: Simple Policy-chain Configuration](image)

The TSA starts-up using an initial set of policy chain from configuration file, which then can be modified by the DPI-controller (or any other policy enforcing application).

5.3.2 Implementation consideration

There are few technical details that needs to be considered before implementing an effective TSA:

- In order to keep the network traffic unchanged after the policy chain traversal, The last switch after the last middlebox in a chain, should strip the vlan-tag used in the process.
- Once The policy chain traversal ends, the traffic should be returned to the control of the underlying network routing. In other words, the TSA is not responsible to the routing of the packets to their destination, but only through their relevant policy chain.
- The previous two considerations, brought up a major challenge that came up during the implementation of the TSA: How can the TSA prevent the traffic from traversing the policy chain in an endless loop? Specifically, how can a switch know that the arriving packet has already traversed an entire policy chain and now should be handled by the regular routing. Assuming we don't want to leave any mark on the packets leaving the policy chain. The solution was to add a ProcState=FromHost tag to all packets arriving from a host computer or server and matches one of the policy chains class. In that way only tagged packets are handled by the TSA rules. Then, when
the packet leaves the last middlebox the tag is stripped, and the next switch gets a “tagless” packet coming from a switch and not a host, and therefore don’t apply any TSA flows on it.

5.3.3 TSA Architecture

The TSA service design is quite simple and straight-forward, the main consideration was to integrate with the OpenDayLight controller in a proper way. OpenDayLight offers many services that make it much easier to apply policy chains to the network.

Beside of the basic FlowProgrammer service which sets flows to the switches. The TSA service uses two more services: the HostTracker and the TopologyManager.

The HostTracker service, provides an API to discover hosts (not switches) in the network. The TopologyManager service provides an API to find paths between switches/hosts in the network.

The combination of those two services makes it easy to generate the needed flows by the RulesGenerator as described in the section 5.3.4 Pseudo code.

The rest of the modules are self-explanatory: The ConfigurationManager, reads the initial policy chains and notifies on configuration changes.

The TSAListener, communicates with the DPI Controller (or any other TSA consumer). The FlowUtils, provides common flow-related operations which are used by the SimpleTSAlmpl.

Finally the TSA service itself is exposed as a service in the OpenDayLight controller environment (using OSGI/Karaf), using the ITrafficSteeringService, allowing other applications in the SDN controller to modify or receive the current policy chains.
5.3.4 Pseudo code

```plaintext
GenerateRules(PolicyChain)
ChainHosts = findHosts(PolicyChain.hosts)
Switches = getAllSwitches()
vlanTag = firstVlan
For (host,nextHost) in ChainHosts:
    If nextHost <> NULL:
        // handle packets from host to host
        flow = generateFlowFromHost(host, nextHost, vlanTag+1, PRIORITY+2)
        result[getSwitch(host)].add(flow)
    For switch in switches:
        // route to next host
        If host is FirstHost:
            flows = generateRouteToFirstHost(host, switch, vlanTag, PRIORITY)
            Result[switch].add(flows)
        flow = generateRouteToHost(host, switch, vlanTag, PRIORITY+1)
        Result[switch].add(flow)
    vlanTag++

Figure 8: TSA Pseudo code
```
6 DPIaaS Evaluation

In this chapter we'll describe the process of deploying and testing the applications described in the previous chapters. The TSA and DPI-Controller applications was tested in two different environments: Virtual, using Mininet simulated switches and hosts. And physical (or semi-virtual), using a real OpenFlow switch and virtual machines distributed on real servers.

The virtual environment was mainly established for development purposes, and used for functional testing and demonstrating the working functionality of the DPIaaS on various complicated network scenarios. While the physical environment experiments aim to demonstrate a working DPIaaS in more realistic scenario, with a real switch and hosts routing. Therefore, the performance experiments, aimed to prove the original paper claim on performance improvements using DPIaaS instead of regular network configuration, was performed in the physical environment.

6.1 Tools

In both environments, in order to achieve a "live" underlying network for the tested application to run on, additional tools was developed. Those tools are essential for running the DPIaaS and enabled us to test the application in complex situations.

6.1.1 Mocks

In the development phase in order to test the application, we needed mocks to mimic a working network devices required for basic operation: a middlebox and a dpi instance. Those mocks basically implementing the DPIaaS protocol described in section Error! Reference source not found., and send incoming packets back to the network in order to keep the traffic flow.

**Middlebox mock** – The middlebox mock can be loaded with initial set of rules from an input file, then it registers and negotiates the rules with the DPI-controller. In addition the mock supports adding and removing rules dynamically using shell commands. Finally, the mock can print any arriving message which allow us to test the TSA, and verify that messages corresponding to the relevant policy chain actually arriving to middlebox.

**DPI instance mock** – The DPI instance mock is much simpler and basically just prints any incoming message to the console. This simple operation allow us to verify that the expected rules was assign to every DPI instance, and as in the middlebox mock that the TSA is working correctly.
6.1.2 Wrappers

For more realistic experiments, and especially for the performance experiments, we needed middleboxes and DPI-instances that actually perform their rules. Meaning, a DPI-instance that runs DPI on incoming messages using its assigned rules and generates match notification packets. And a simple middlebox that can wait to those incoming match notification, and pairs them to the examined packets.

In order to achieve this, we have used the same executables used for evaluating in the original paper [1], which perform those exact operations. The code of the executables is available at https://github.com/DeepnessLab/moly.

However, in order to use those tools in a working DPIaaS environment we needed to "wrap" them in thin layer that provides a few major functions:

- Communicates using the DPIaaS protocol
- Saves the actual DPI-instance state – assigned rules
- Allows us to interact with the middlebox – add/remove rules
- Translates any change of state to a working execution of the underlying tool.

Note that in this implementation, every change in the DPI-instance or middlebox state causes the underlying executable to restart. Which makes those wrappers far from ideal to test an environment with ever changing configurations. Never the less, for our purposes they are suffice. This is because our usage is to set up a configuration and then to measure several performance matrices.

6.1.3 Mininet network generation script

In the virtual environment, several actions need to be taken in order the setup a functioning DPIaaS network. Those steps, which will be described next, was implemented using a script which saves time and prevents errors:

1. **Running the Mininet network with the desired topology**
   generating a fat-tree topology using input arguments and start-up Mininet with this topology, and a OF13 switch configuration. The script can easily be extended to support more topologies.

2. **Ping all host pairs to create connectivity**
   in order to create the “regular routing” flows, messages need to be sent between all the hosts in the network. This step also validate the success of the previous step.

3. **Connecting the DPI controller Host to the SDN controller**
   In a DPIaaS network the DPI-Controller is a special case, in which it has one “leg” in the "regular network" and the other in the SDN network. This configuration can be achieved in a physical network by simply connecting cables, but needs special consideration in order to be deployed in Mininet. The script connect the DPI-controller host to the SDN controller.
4. **Running DPI-network parts on desired hosts**
   Once the DPIaaS network is up a running, one can save time by specifying, using command arguments, on which hosts he wants to setup middleboxes and/or DPI instances. The script starts up the DPI controller and then the middleboxes and instances. Furthermore, the user can specify initial set of rules for some middlebox if he wants to.

5. **Can automatically start sniffing on the network parts**
   Finally, the user can inform the script that he wants open sniffing terminals on the hosts with running middleboxes/instances. Which helps to perform tests on the network.

   For example, look at the following script execution:

   ```
   python dpiNet.py --topo 4,6,2 --middlebox h2=rules/rules1.json h4=rules/rules2.json h5=rules/rules3.json --instance h7 --xterms
   ```

   This will set up a running Mininet network, with fat-tree topology, using the 4,6,2 arguments: 3 middleboxes h2,h4 and h5 each with its initial sets of rules and one DPI-instance h7. After the network will set up the script will open terminals for all the DPI-network elements. Because no DPI-controller was explicitly chosen, the DPI-controller will be deployed by default on the host h1. Note that the precondition for executing this script is a running SDN controller with TSA on the local server.
6.2 Functional Evaluation

The functional evaluation of the system was conducted in a full virtual environment, using Mininet. Using Mininet to simulate the network allow us to test our application in a large and complex networks, which would have been very hard and time consuming to configure using physical or virtual machines.

We used Mininet and additional tools, which will be described next, to create a working demonstration of the DPIaaS concept using the implemented applications: the DPI-Controller and the TSA. The demonstration uses a Fat-tree topology (see Figure 9) which is common topology in data centers. Following are the main stages in the demonstration, which is elaborated in appendix 0:

1. Set up a working DPIaaS network using automated script and predefined policy-chains and rules.
2. Test the working network: send different messages and verify that they pass instances and middlebox according the load-balance strategy and policy-chains. Because we are using the wrappers we can actually see match-notification being sent by DPI-instances when needed.
3. Change network configuration in runtime: Add instance/middlebox and rules, change policy chains, and observe the application reacts to achieve the desired network behavior.

As stated before we are using Mininet versatility, in order to generate complex network and experiment the implementation functionality and correctness. For performance experiments which are meant to show that deployment of the DPIaaS will produce better performance than a conventional network, as the original paper claims. We need to use a more realistic environment.

![Fat-tree topology](image-url)
6.3 Performance Evaluation

In order to demonstrate DPIaaS operation with physical OpenFlow switch, and in a realistic data center environment as possible, we set up a configuration in the deepness lab using three physical servers (Server1, Server2 and Server3), one OpenFlow switch and one regular Ethernet switch. In addition to a regular management connection, each server has two connections to the OF switch, one cooper 1G and one optical of 10G. In the experiment we didn’t use more than 1G bandwidth so we can address them as identical. This environment was used to replicate the experiments that was conducted in the original paper. Only now using a full functioning DPIaaS system, an high capacity switch and broadband connections.

6.3.1 Experiments configuration

![Physical Lab Deployment Diagram](image)

The environment configuration included two to three virtual server on each physical server, sums up to a total of seven virtual servers which was used for the demonstration and the experiments.

As described in Figure 10, each virtual machine receives a rule in the DPIaaS network: OpenDayLight with TSA, The DPI controller, 2 middleboxes, 1 DPI instance and 2 regular hosts used to send packets from each other.

As for the network configuration, we wire up the virtual interfaces, so that each virtual server is connected to the network with one OpenFlow connections, with 2 exceptions:

1. The SDN controller (TSA and routing), which is only connected to the OF through the management network.
2. The DPI controller which has two connections: one to the management network - in which its communicate with the TSA, and the second to the SDN network – in which it get and assign rules.

We then apply a simple policy chains, in which all the packets need to get from host 1 to host 2, while traversing both middleboxes on their way.

Finally, we measure the output bandwidth in two different configurations. The first, the policy chain as described, with no use of DPI controller and instance. In the second, we’ve used the DPIaaS, by adding a DPI instance before the 2 middleboxes, which is assigned the union of theirs match-rules. Note, that when using 2 middleboxes without DPI instance, we actually use two DPI instances as middleboxes by assigning them an initial rules set.
6.3.2 Data set

As in the original paper [1], we have used two different match-rules data sets in the experiment. The first, was the snort rules set, divided randomly between two middleboxes with a total of ~4500 rules. In the second, one middlebox used the snort rules and the other the ClamAV rules, with a total of 9000 rules.

The experiments were conducted with an increasing number of rules to test the influence of rules count on bandwidth in each scenario.

6.3.3 Results

Overall, in the physical environment experiments, we’ve received between ~25%-65% percent improvement in performance when using the DPIaaS configuration. In general, the improvement dropped to the bottom of this range as the number of rules increased.

6.3.3.1 Snort data set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rules number</th>
<th>Without DPI (Mb)</th>
<th>With DPI (Mb)</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4356</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>36.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3457</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>48.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2913</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>54.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2197</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>62.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1486</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>67.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>787</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>63.89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Snort results**

**Figure 11: Snort results graph**
6.3.3.2 Snort and ClamAV rules set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rules number</th>
<th>Without DPI(Mb)</th>
<th>With DPI(Mb)</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>23.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>32.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>36.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>26.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>24.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>29.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>29.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>24.03%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Snort and ClamAV Results

![Snort and ClamAV](image)

Figure 12: Snort and ClamAV Results Graph
6.3.4 Discussion

After receiving those results, we’ve noticed few disturbing issues:

1. The results show lower throughput improvement than the results in [1] (as shown in Figure 13), which showed ~80% improvement in performance when DPIaaS was used.
2. The results seem inconsistent, in the matter that decreasing the number of rules not always yield better performance.
3. The bandwidth that was achieved in all the measurements was significantly lower than in the paper: ~200Mb instead of ~2000Mb.

After further research and experiments, two main reasons for that was found:

1. Each physical server converting high volume of bi-directional traffic, from a physical interface to virtual interface and vice-versa, for two different virtual machines simultaneously. This task is very CPU consuming, in addition to the DPI process, which is also CPU intensive. CPU measurements throughout the experiments, show repeatedly 100% utilization peaks in the server cores. Which can explain the drop of bandwidth and the inconsistence measures.
2. Additional experiments, aimed to shed light on the problem, we found out that the DPIaaS instance and middlebox have a built-in drop in bandwidth when uses with real interface, rather than a loopback interface. This did not affect the original paper, where all the experiments used the loopback interface. This can explain the major drop in bandwidth relative to the original experiment.

![Figure 13: Original Paper Results](image-url)
7 Conclusion

This work aimed to provide a proof of concept of the theoretical idea presented in the paper “Deep Packet Inspection as a Service”. Our implemented applications sums up to demonstrate a full, although simple, working network, which uses DPI as scalable and dynamic service. It has been shown that, as the original paper claims, the extraction of the DPI to shared instances shows a significant improvement in bandwidth, despite the technical difficulties to achieve a higher bandwidth in both scenarios.

Furthermore, one of the goals achieved by this work, was to identify and tackle new implementation challenges in a real DPIaaS deployment, for example the TSA loop issue addressed in 5.3.2 or the TSA-Controller relation discussed in 5.1.

Future work can be various optimizations in using the prototype DPIaaS framework which provides a framework for easy implementation of more complex strategies. To mention few: more efficient match-rules division among the DPI instances, dynamic scaling of DPI instances across the network, supporting load-balancing of DPI-instances etc. Moreover, this framework can even be expanded in order to provide services for other key network functions beside Deep Packet Inspection.
8 Appendixes

8.1 Installation guide

Instruction how to build the applications used in this paper, which are preconditions for running the tutorial in appendix 0

The source code is available in the repository https://github.com/DeepnessLab/moly

TSA_dir is ctrl/dpictrl-odl/TSA
controller_dir is ctrl/dpictrl-odl/DPIController

8.1.1 Preconditions

- Maven 3.3.9 or above
- java 1.7 or above

8.1.2 Install TSA

The TSA_dir holds the source code for the TSA plugin, a simple routing plugin and a Maven build script for fetching and building OpenDayLight and the above plugins:

We’ll start with building it all (it’s going to take some time), run from TSA_dir:

```
mvn install
```

We now just need to copy the two plugins into the plugins directory:

```
cp dpi_tsa/target/dpi_tsa-0.5.1-SNAPSHOT.jar distribution/opendaylight-osgi-adsal/target/distribution-osgi-adsal-1.1.0-SNAPSHOT-osgipackage/opendaylight/plugins/

cp adsal_L2_forwarding/target/adsal_L2_forwarding-0.5.0-SNAPSHOT.jar
distribution/opendaylight-osgi-adsal/target/distribution-osgi-adsal-1.1.0-SNAPSHOT-osgipackage/opendaylight/plugins/
```

The TSA needs 2 config files to run, there are sample files in the TSA config dir:

```
cp dpi_tsa/config/*.* distribution/opendaylight-osgi-adsal/target/distribution-osgi-adsal-1.1.0-SNAPSHOT-osgipackage/opendaylight/configuration/
```

8.1.3 Install controller and tools

Run from controller_dir:

```
mvn install
```

The controller, IDS wrapper and DPI instance wrapper are in the target directory the Mininet network generation script is in the scripts directory.

Now we are ready to run a simple tutorial as described in 8.2
8.2 Tutorial walkthrough

8.2.1 Starting the DPI network

1. Start ODL in a terminal tab or xterm:
   ```
xterm -e ~/ODL_RUN_LOCATION/run.sh -Xmx7G &
   (make sure the tsa is not running)
   the -Xmx is the ram allocated for the ODL.
   ```
2. Now we are ready to start our DPI Network, we will use the dpiNet.py script:
   ```
xterm -e sudo python dpiNet.py --topo 4,6,2 --middlebox h2=rules/rules1.json h4=rules/rules2.json h5=rules/rules3.json --instance h7 --xterms
   ```
   we will start the following DPI net:
   a. the dpi-controller is on its default host (h1)
   b. 3 middleboxes on h2, h4 and h5
   c. each middlebox get its initial rules from the corresponding file (not mandatory)
   d. 1 dpi service instance on h7
   e. open xterms on all previous host for sniffing
3. At first the mininet will be built and will be pinged for connectivity (so far nothing special just regular mininet with forwarding)
4. When the “start TSA till 'waiting for controller..’ and press any key..” message appears we need to start the tsa, go to the ODL terminal:
   a. type ss dpi to get the tsa bundle number
   b. type start/refresh bundle_number
   c. wait for ‘waiting for controller..’
5. Press enter on the mininet wait for the mininet>
6. thats it the network is ready, we can see what is happening by looking at log.out file

8.2.2 Testing the network

1. We will start by opening 2 new xterms say h3 and h8. (xterm h3 h8)
2. Open on h8 ngrep (ngrep -t -d h8-eth0 -v ‘arp and lldp’)
3. Now we can play with netcat and see what happens to the network, but first lets take a look at the tsa initial policy:
   ```
xterm -e nano ~/ODL_RUN_LOCATION/configuration/tsa_policy.xml &
   ```
4. We’ll start with a simple packet from h3 to h8, on h3 type: `echo liorbarak | nc -u 10.0.0.8 1234, and see the packet arrive on h8.
5. now lets use one of the tsa policy class ‘udp dst 4444’ -> `echo liorbarak | nc -u 10.0.0.8 4444, now we should see thep packet arriving at h7 and then to the corrseponding chain (h4->h2).
6. now we will use packet with pattern of one of the middleboxes lets say aaaaaaaa we should see the same scenario like at the previous step but now additional packet arriving from h7 telling the middleboxes on the pattern match
7. finally we will do same thing with dest port 5555 , and see the tsa steer the packets through h7 (the instance) and the first chain (h2->h5)
8.2.3 Diving in

In this part we are going to add an instance and a middlebox (and rules) to the network, and make changes to the TSA:

1. Add another DPI service instance on h6:
   - mininet> xterm h6
   - on h6-xterm -> java -jar bin/serviceWrapper.jar -id h6 [--print-packets] (or just ngrep)
   - now we can try again 5-7 from previous section and see how each chain gets a different instance

2. Now add another middlebox and make some changes on the tsa:
   a. xterm on h20 and run -> java -jar bin/IDSWrapper.jar -id h20 --interactive [--print-packets], and in the interactive console write add-rules 1,liorbarak
      (at this point you can see that no change in network been made, previous chapter will act the same)
   b. on the tsa_policy.xml file we add the host <host>10.0.0.20</host> in the end of the second chain, and change the policy class for example; dst port to 6666
   c. like in 7. previous chapter. nothing special will happen. but if we use 6666 port we’ll see packets arrive at -> h7 h2 h5 h20 as intended with the match packet following.
   d. if we kill the middlebox on h20 we can see the report generated by the moly MB
8.3 Protocol

8.3.1 Match Rules
Each middlebox has a set of match rules that define the operation of either its own DPI engine or the virtual DPI instance that performs the DPI process for it. A match rule specifies:

A pattern or a regular expression
Identification number (to be returned when this rule is matched and used by middlebox)
Optional: Start index, end index in L7 payload
Zero or more sets of header fields that a packet should match or not match in order to apply for scanning against this rule (To be implemented in future).

A match rule is represented using JSON as follows:

```json
{
    className: 'MatchRule',
    pattern: some exact-string or regular-expression string,
    is_regex: true if pattern is a regular-expression or false otherwise,
    rid: rule identification number,
}
```

8.3.2 Communication Protocol
Messages in the system are encoded using JSON for the ease of its use. Fast-path data is attached to packets and should be encoded more efficiently.

8.3.2.1 Middlebox to controller

```json
{
    className: 'MiddleboxRegister',
    id: middlebox unique ID,
    name: middlebox name,
    sibling id: sibling middlebox id,
    flow flag: TRUE\FALSE,
    stealth flag: TRUE\FALSE
}
```

```json
{
    className: 'MiddleboxRulesetAdd',
```
mid: middlebox unique ID,
rules: array of match rules as defined in Section 8.3.1
}

{
  className: 'MiddleboxRulesetRemove',
  mid: middlebox unique ID,
  rules: array of match rule IDs (rid's)
}

{
  className: 'MiddleboxDeregister',
  id: middlebox unique ID
}

8.3.2.2 Service Instance to controller
{
  className: 'InstanceRegister',
  id: instance unique ID,
  name: instance name
}
{
  className: 'InstanceDeregister',
  id: middlebox unique ID
}

8.3.2.3 Controller to Service Instance
{
  className: 'RuleAdd',
  rules: array of match rules as defined in Section 8.3.1
}
{
  className: 'RuleRemove',
  rules: array of match rule IDs (rid's)
}
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A Middleboxes (Middleboxes) [1] of Deep – DPI (DPI) is a function that manipulates meshabin elements, and it reaches out to the end before reaching the network's middle elements. The common feature shared by most DPI elements is deep packet inspection (Deep packet inspection), which allows for operations on the findings of the packet's body.

DPI is a very expensive operation in terms of resources and time, requiring most of the resources of these elements. In addition, since these packets pass through several elements like this, the same packet is scanned again and again by different DPI engines. This results in significant resource wastage in the network's bandwidth and directly affects the bandwidth's throughput.

One solution to this problem is a system that offers DPI as a service. That is, the different elements in the network requiring DPI services, register to the service and supply it with the templates they are looking for in the packet. The system then takes the relevant packets from the distributed DPI elements in the network and transfers the found adjustments, if any, to the registered element along with the appropriate packet.

The advantages of such a system are many, including: A single pass for each packet in the network, the ability to use the most advanced algorithms and technology in the field of DPI, an optimal allocation of templates between DPI engines and the continuous innovation in development of middle means, enabling focus on the logic of the element itself and not on the DPI development. Developing such a system is much easier today due to the development of SDN technology, which allows the concentration of network traffic in a dynamic manner.

The purpose of this work is to implement a type of such a system and provide a realistic environment that allows for testing the system. This work documents the planning and development processes of the system and the tools and utilities needed to install a functional network system.

Finally, this work describes the experiments conducted to prove the correctness and effectiveness of the system.
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For the implementation of a system
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